

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,576	03/31/2004	Cynthia B. Robinson	30775.724.201	9401
	7590 02/07/2008 SINI GOODRICH & R	EXAMINER		
650 PAGE MILL ROAD			RAMACHANDRAN, UMAMAHESWARI	
PALO ALTO,	CA 94304-1050		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			<u> </u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	<del></del>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/815,576	ROBINSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Umamaheswari Ramachandran	1617			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period fo		/ IO OFT TO EVENE A MONTH!	O) OD THIRTY (20) DAVE			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No	ovember 2007.				
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	)3 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 and 15-18 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1 1 1				
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)[	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
·	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 25 U.S.C. & 110/a	\ (d) or (f)			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 33 0.3.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) 01 (1).			
u,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
•	2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∤d.			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •			

10/815,576 Art Unit: 1617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-6, 15-18 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 15-18 of copending Application No. 11/020,652. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the instant application and the pending application teach a pharmaceutical composition comprising the first

10/815,576 Art Unit: 1617

active agent which is one of non-glucocorticoid steroid and a second active agent which is glucocorticoid steroid, beclomethasone dipropionate. The instant application teaches non-glucocorticoid steroid species encompassed by the genus non-glucocorticoid steroid compounds taught by the co-pending application. The claims (1-6, 15-18) of the instant application fall within the scope of the claims 1-6, 15-18 of the co-pending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nyce (2005/0070487, PCT filed Apr 22 2002).

Nyce teach pharmaceutical composition comprising a first agent selected from a non-glucocorticoid steroid or analogues, or salts such as DHEA or DHEA-S and ubiquinone and a second agent glucocorticoidsteroid in a method of treatment of respiratory, lung disorder that include chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic bronchitis, bronchoconstriction, respiratory tract inflammation allergic rhinitis etc (p 20-23, claims 1-7, 18 and 20). The reference teach beclomethasone as a glucocorticosteroid agent (para 0054). The reference teach that some of the second

10/815,576 Art Unit: 1617

active agents are effective for short period of time, but in conjunction with the non-glucocorticoid steroids provide a good continuation of short and long term relief. The reference teach non-glucocorticoid steroid of formula I (para 0035) wherein R1 of formula I is hydrogen or SO2OM, wherein M comprises H, Na, sulfatide etc (para 0036). The reference further teaches that the composition includes ubiquinone of formula II (elected species) or salt thereof, and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier (para 0042, 0049). The reference further teaches that the compositions can be administered by generating an aerosol or spray comprised of respirable, inhalable, nasal or intrapulmonary delivered particles ranging from 10 to about 100 u in size (p 22, claims 41-46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to formulate a composition comprising a non-glucocorticoid steroid or analogues, or salts such as DHEA or DHEA-S and ubiquinone and a second agent glucocorticoidsteroid such as beclomethasone because of the teachings of Nyce. Nyce teach a pharmaceutical composition comprising a non-glucocorticoid steroid or analogues, or salts such as DHEA or DHEA-S and ubiquinone and a second agent glucocorticoidsteroid. Nyce further exemplify beclomethasone as one of the glucocorticosteroidal agents. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to formulate a composition as claimed because of expectation of success and in achieving the therapeutic benefits of treating asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, allergic rhinitis etc. as taught by Nyce et al.

10/815,576 Art Unit: 1617

Claims 1-6, 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nyce et al. (WO/02085296).

Nyce teach pharmaceutical composition comprising a first agent selected from a non-glucocorticoid steroid or analogues, or salts such as DHEA or DHEA-S and ubiquinone and a second agent glucocorticoidsteroid in a method of treatment of respiratory, lung disorder that include chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic bronchitis, bronchoconstriction, respiratory tract inflammation allergic rhinitis etc (abstract, p1, lines 1-4, p5, para 2, p8 summary of the invention, p9 para 2, p13 para 4, p14-17, claims1-6, 18, 20). The reference teach beclomethasone as a glucocorticosteroid agent (p 18, para 4, line 3). The reference teach that some of the second active agents are effective for short period of time, but in conjunction with the non-glucocorticoid steroids provide a good continuation of short and long term relief. The reference teach non-glucocorticoid steroid of formula I (p 14) wherein R1 of formula I is hydrogen or SO2OM, wherein M comprises H, Na, sulfatide etc (p 14). The reference further teaches that the composition includes ubiquinone of formula II (elected species) or salt thereof, and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier (p15). The reference further teaches that the compositions can be administered by generating an aerosol or spray comprised of respirable, inhalable, nasal or intrapulmonary delivered particles ranging from 10 to about 100 u in size (p 24).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to formulate a composition comprising a non-glucocorticoid steroid or analogues, or salts such as DHEA or DHEA-S and ubiquinone and a second agent

10/815,576 Art Unit: 1617

glucocorticoidsteroid such as beclomethasone because of the teachings of Nyce. Nyce teach a pharmaceutical composition comprising a non-glucocorticoid steroid or analogues, or salts such as DHEA or DHEA-S and ubiquinone and a second agent glucocorticoidsteroid. Nyce further exemplify beclomethasone as one of the glucocorticosteroidal agents. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to formulate a composition as claimed because of expectation of success and in achieving the therapeutic benefits of treating asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, allergic rhinitis etc. as taught by Nyce et al.

Claims 1-6, 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nyce (2002/0032160) in view of Cagle et al. (US 2004/0097474, effective filing date, Nov 12 2002).

Nyce teach a composition and various formulations comprising therapeutic amounts of non-glucocorticoid steroid of formula I (para 0018) wherein R1 of formula I is hydrogen or SO2OM, wherein M comprises H, Na, sulfatide etc (para 0019). The reference further teaches that the composition includes the compounds of formula I such as DHEA, analogue thereof or salt thereof such as dihydroepidandrosterone sulfate, and/or a ubiquinone of formula II (elected species) or salt thereof, and a pharmaceutically or veterinarily acceptable carrier or diluent that are useful for treating bronchoconstriction, respiratory tract inflammation, allergies, asthma etc (see Abstract, para 0023, p 7, claim 1, p 8, claims 2-7, 11 and 14, p 9, claim 52). The reference further teaches that the compositions can be administered by generating an aerosol or spray

10/815,576 Art Unit: 1617

comprised of respirable, inhalable, nasal or intrapulmonary delivered particles ranging from 10 to about 100 u in size (p 8, claims 35, 37, 39).

The reference does not teach a second agent beclomethasone, a glucocorticoidsteroid in the composition.

Cagle teach beclomethasone in a composition for a method of treating allergic rhinitis (para 0008, claim 1).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add beclomethasone, a glucocorticoidsteroid in a composition comprising DHEA and ubiquinone. The motivation to do so is provided by Cagle. Cagle teach a pharmaceutical composition comprising beclomethasone to be useful in the treatment of allergic rhinitis. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to add beclomethasone to a composition comprising DHEA and ubiquinone in the treatment of a respiratory condition such as allergies because prior art teaches both the compositions to be useful in the treatment of allergies. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by expectation of success in achieving a pharmaceutical composition comprising all the three components (a non-glucocorticoid steroid, DHEA sulfate and beclomethasone and further in expectation of additive and/or synergistic effects in the combination therapy of asthma and allergies. The examiner respectfully points out the following from MPEP 2144.06: "It is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose....[T]he idea of

10/815,576 Art Unit: 1617

combining them flows logically from their, having been individually taught in the prior art." In re Kerkhoven, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069,-1072 (CCPA 1980).

Claims 1-6, 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nyce (2002/0032160) in view of Brogden et al. (Drugs 28, 99-126, 1984).

Nyce teach a composition and various formulations comprising therapeutic amounts of non-glucocorticoid steroid of formula I (para 0018) wherein R1 of formula I is hydrogen or SO2OM, wherein M comprises H, Na, sulfatide etc (para 0019). The reference further teaches that the composition includes the compounds of formula I such as DHEA, analogue thereof or salt thereof such as dihydroepidandrosterone sulfate, and/or a ubiquinone of formula II (elected species) or salt thereof, and a pharmaceutically or veterinarily acceptable carrier or diluent that are useful for treating bronchoconstriction, respiratory tract inflammation, allergies, asthma etc (see Abstract, para 0023, p 7, claim 1, p 8, claims 2-7, 11 and 14, p 9, claim 52). The reference further teaches that the compositions can be administered by generating an aerosol or spray comprised of respirable, inhalable, nasal or intrapulmonary delivered particles ranging from 10 to about 100 u in size (p 8, claims 35, 37, 39).

The reference does not teach a second agent beclomethasone, a glucocorticoidsteroid in the composition.

Brogden et al. teach beclomethasone composition in a method of treating asthma and rhinitis (see abstract, p 100, summary).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add beclomethasone, a glucocorticoidsteroid in a composition comprising

10/815,576

Art Unit: 1617

DHEA and ubiquinone. The motivation to do so is provided by Brogden et al. Brogden et al. teach beclomethasone pharmaceutical composition to be useful in the treatment of asthma and rhinitis. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to add beclomethasone to a composition comprising DHEA and ubiquinone in the treatment of a respiratory condition such as asthma and allergies because prior art teaches both the compositions to be useful in the treatment of asthma and allergies. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by expectation of success in achieving a pharmaceutical composition comprising all the three components (a non-glucocorticoid steroid, DHEA sulfate and beclomethasone and further in expectation of additive and/or synergistic effects in the combination therapy of asthma and/or allergies. The examiner respectfully points out the following from MPEP 2144.06: "It is **prima facie obvious** to combine two compositions each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose, in order to form a third composition to be used for the very same purpose....[T]he idea of combining them flows logically from their, having been individually taught in the prior art." In re Kerkhoven, 626 F.2d 846, 850, 205 USPQ 1069,-1072 (CCPA 1980).

### Conclusion

No Claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Umamaheswari Ramachandran whose telephone

10/815,576 Art Unit: 1617

number is 571-272-9926. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.